

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

LIONRA TECHNOLOGIES LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

FORTINET, INC.,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-cv-0322-JRG-RSP
(LEAD CASE)

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is Defendant Palo Alto Networks, Inc.’s Motion for Summary Judgment of No Pre-Suit Indirect Infringement. (Dkt. No. 255.) In response, Lionra filed a Notice of Non-Opposition to the motion, representing that it will not be alleging pre-suit induced infringement at trial and thus does not oppose the motion. (Dkt. No. 296.)

The Court recommends the motion be **GRANTED** as unopposed.

A party’s failure to file written objections to the findings, conclusions and recommendations contained in this report within 14 days bars that party from *de novo* review by the District Judge of those findings, conclusions, and recommendations and, except on grounds of plain error, from appellate review of unobjected-to factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the district court. FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b)(2); *see also Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass’n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1428–29 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*). Any objection to this Report and Recommendation must be filed in ECF under the event “Objection to Report and Recommendation [cv, respoth]” or it may not be considered by the District Judge.

SIGNED this 19th day of April, 2024.


ROY S. PAYNE

1 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE